

In the name of god most gracious most merciful

Republic of Iraq
Federal supreme court
Ref. 34/federal/media /2016



Kurdish text

The Federal Supreme Court (F S C) has been convened on 31.7.2016 headed by the Senior Judge Farouk Mohammed AL-Sami and membership of Judges Jaafar Nasir Hussein, Akram Ahmed Baban, Mohammed Saib Al-nagshabandi, Aboud Salih Al-temimi, Michael Shamshon Qas Georges, Hussein Abbas Abu AL-Temman, Ead Hatif Jabaar and Mohammed Rajab Al-kubisi who authorized in the name of the people to judge and they made the following decision:

The Plaintiff / 1- (sin. yeh. mim.) 2- (sin. shin. ain.) their agent (mim. mim. ra. sin.)

The Defendant / Speaker of the House of Representatives / being in this capacity the two jurists (sin. ta. yeh.) and (heh. mim. sin.).

The Claim :

The agent of the plaintiffs claimed that the House of Representatives, the legislature and the supreme oversight authority, article 22 of its bylaw stipulate that the House convenes with two legislative chapters, the first of which begins on (1) March and ends on (30) June of each year and the second chapter begins on (1) September and ends on (31) January, while article (22) of the bylaw of the House of Representatives confirmed that the House of Representatives will convene at least two days a week and in the event of the completion of the quorum seeks to postpone and set a date for the meeting and since the sessions of the House of

Representatives to another notice disrupting its work. According to the prosecution, the plaintiffs were affected by this delay they requested the ruling to oblige the House of Representatives to resume its work because the suspension is contrary to the Constitution and the rules of procedure of the Council, and they also requested that the first session be held by questioning the prime minister based on what the council voted for at the session on 28/3/2016. After the registration of the case and the completion of the FSC the appropriate procedures, a date was set for the case and the court was formed, and the two agents of the two parties attended and began with the argument immanence and public, the plaintiffs' agent reiterated his requests and statements contained in the petition and requested the judge, and the agents of the defendants/ being in this capacity replied and repeated their previous requests and statements, requested that the case be dismissed, and that both parties repeated their previous statements and where nothing was left to be said the end of argument has been made clearly , the decision had made clear public.

The Decision :

After scrutiny and deliberation by the FSC found that the prosecution focused on the request to sentence the defendant to the President of the House of Representatives/ being in this capacity to resume the sessions of the House of Representatives and to be the first session after the meeting to question the Prime Minister/ being in this capacity based on the previous vote of the Council on this 28/3/2016, the FSC found with regard to the first request for the resumption of the House of Representatives, the House of Representatives resumed its sessions normally after it was disrupted by the interruption of the sessions by some deputies to internal disputes in the House and then returned to its work and resume its sessions. Accordingly, the consideration of this part of the case has become irrelevant, as for the second request that the prime minister's questioning at the first session of the House of Representatives

be considered outside the jurisdiction of the FSC stipulated in article (93) of the Constitution of the Republic of Iraq in 2005. Article (4) of the FSC's Law No. (30) of 2005 and therefore the plaintiffs' claim is The case is judged by refusal for advanced reasons and therefore decided to reject the plaintiffs' claims and charge them expenses and the fees of the lawyer and the defendant's agent/ being in this capacity of the two jurists (sin. ta. yeh.) and (heh. mim. sin.) amount of 100,000 dinars they split it 50-50 and the decision was issued immanence with unanimously decisively and its made clear publicly on 31/7/2016.